

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT
LITIGATION

MDL No. 3084

This Order Relates To:

B.F. v. Uber, Inc.,
Case No. 3:25-cv-04466-CRB

H.S.01 v. Uber, Inc.,
Case No. 3:25-cv-01999-CRB

**ORDER DENYING MOTIONS TO
WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL**

Re: Dkt. Nos. 3689, 3690

The Motions to Withdraw as Counsel in the above-captioned cases are denied without prejudice pending the resolution of Uber's Motion for Entry of an Order to Show Cause. The plaintiffs in these cases are alleged to have submitted fraudulent ride receipts; such action could potentially result in a variety of penalties, including but not limited to dismissal of plaintiffs' claims with prejudice and sanctions against plaintiffs' counsel. Given the seriousness of the potential repercussions of Uber's pending motion, it would be prejudicial both to plaintiffs as well as Uber to allow counsel to withdraw so soon before that dispute can be resolved.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 26, 2025



CHARLES R. BREYER
United States District Judge